## Lecture 20 on Nov. 28 2013

We have seen the applications of the simplest Cauchy theorem in the above lectures. Today we are going to consider its more general version.

**Definition 0.1.** A curve  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  is said to be homogeneous to a point in  $\Omega$  if  $\gamma$  can be deformed continuously to the point. Analytically we have a two variable functions  $\gamma(t, s)$  from the rectangle  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  to  $\Omega$  so that  $\gamma$  is continuous with respect to both t variable and s variable. Moreover  $\gamma(t, 0)$  is a parametrization of the curve  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma(t, 1)$  is constantly equal to the given point in  $\Omega$ .

One may refer to the figure 1 to take a glance on the concept introduced above. In fact in figure 1,  $\Omega_2$  is the larger domain and  $\Omega_1$  is the smaller domain inside  $\Omega_2$ . Our  $\Omega$  is the domain in  $\Omega_2$  without the domain  $\Omega_1$ . For  $\gamma_1$  no matter how you deform  $\gamma_1$  to a point in  $\Omega$ , you will alway intersect some points in  $\Omega_1$ . But for  $\gamma_2$  we can do so. The difference of these two curves are the follows. For  $\gamma_1$ , it enclose an interior region and  $\Omega_1$  is included in the region. While for  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\Omega_1$  is outside the region enclosed by  $\gamma_2$ . In the following, we are going to show that Cauchy's theorem still holds for curves with the same type of  $\gamma_2$ .

Given  $\Gamma_1$  with positive orientation (see figure 2), we choose another curve  $\Gamma_2$  which is quite close to  $\Gamma_1$ . We separate the region between  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  into a lot of small boxes. The size of each box is small enough so that for each small box, we can find a disk to cover it and f is analytic in the disk. Now we zoom out the box A and box B and choose the contour as what is shown in figure 3. Clearly by simple Cauchy theorem, we know that

$$\int_{I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0$$

where f is an analytic function in a domain containing  $\Gamma_1$ . Moreover we also have

$$\int_{J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0$$

Pay attention that  $I_4$  and  $J_2$  are interface between A and B but they have different direction. So the integration on  $I_4$  and  $J_2$  can be cancelled with each other. Therefore if we add the above two equalities, we get

$$\int_{I_1+I_2+I_3+J_3+J_4+J_1} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

In this new contour, the interface between A and B disappear. The same technique can be applied to the remaining boxes and show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} f(z) \,\mathrm{d}z = 0. \tag{0.1}$$

notice here  $\Gamma_2$  is chosen to be positively oriented. From Figure 3, we see that the curve  $I_3 + J_3$  have different orientation from  $I_1 + J_1$ . Therefore after cancellation of interfaces, the outer curve should be  $\Gamma_1$  and has the same orientation as  $\Gamma_1$  but the interior curve coincide with  $\Gamma_2$  but have different orientation as we choose for the  $\Gamma_2$ . That is why we have a negative sign in front of  $\Gamma_2$  in (0.1). Rewriting (0.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

If  $\Gamma_1$  can be deformed to a point P (see Figure 2) and f is analytic on a disk around P, then we know that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

Therefore we further show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

Summarizing all the arguments above, we have

**Theorem 0.2.** if f is analytic in a domain  $\Omega$  and  $\gamma$  is a closed curve homogeneous to a point in  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

A straightforward application of Theorem 0.2 is the so-called Laurent series. Given an annulus shown as in Figure 4,  $z_0$  is the center. The outer circle has radius  $r_2$  and interior circle has radius  $r_1$ . z is an arbitrary point on the annulus. If  $f(\zeta)$  is analytic on the annulus, then by removability of singularities,  $(f(\zeta) - f(z))/(\zeta - z)$  is also analytic in the annulus with respect to the variable  $\zeta$ . Choosing the contour  $I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$ , it is homogeneous to a point in the annulus, therefore we have by Theorem 0.2 that

$$\int_{I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta = 0.$$

 $I_2$  and  $_4$  can be cancelled with each other since they have different direction, therefore we obtain from the above equality that

$$f(z) \int_{I_1+I_3} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta = \int_{I_1+I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$
(0.2)

Noting that the index of z with respect to  $I_1$  equals to 1 and the index of z with respect to  $I_3$  is 0, therefore the left-hand side of (0.2) equals to  $2\pi i f(z)$ . furthermore (0.2) can be rewritten as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$
(0.3)

Now we deal with the integration on  $I_1$  on the right-hand side of (0.3). clearly

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0 + z_0 - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0}} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

Noticing that on  $I_1$ ,  $|\zeta - z_0| > |z - z_0|$ , therefore it holds by geometric series that

=

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0}\right)^k \,\mathrm{d}\zeta \tag{0.4}$$

$$(0.5)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{k+1}} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta \right) (z - z_0)^k \,. \tag{0.6}$$

As for the integration on  $I_3$ , similarly we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0 + z_0 - z} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{z - z_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\zeta - z_0}{z - z_0}} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-I_3} \frac{f(\zeta)}{z - z_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta - z_0}{z - z_0}\right)^k \, \mathrm{d}\zeta$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-I_3} f(\zeta) \, (\zeta - z_0)^k \, \mathrm{d}\zeta\right) (z - z_0)^{-(k+1)}.$$

summarizing the above arguments, we know that

**Theorem 0.3.** if f is analytic on the annulus with outer circle  $I_1$  and inner circle  $I_3$  (see figure 4), then f can be expanded by

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{k+1}} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

Here if  $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., then \Gamma$  in  $A_k$  is the positively oriented outer circle  $I_1$ . If  $k = -1, -2, ..., then \Gamma$  is the positively oriented inner circle  $I_3$ .

Using Theorem 0.3, we see that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-2}^{-\infty} A_k (z - z_0)^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k (z - z_0)^k + \frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0}.$$

all functions on the right-hand side above has anti-derivatives except the function

$$\frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0}$$

Therefore given a closed curve  $\gamma$  in the annulus, we can easily show that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0} \, \mathrm{d}z = A_{-1} n(\gamma, z_0). \tag{0.7}$$

From the above calculations, we see that  $A_{-1}$  is of most important to us comparing to the other coefficients. So we give a special name for it.

**Definition 0.4.** We call  $A_{-1}$  the residue of a given function f at  $z_0$ , denoted by  $\text{Res}(f, z_0)$ . The expansion in Theorem 0.3 is called Laurent series.

Before moving forward, let us study the uniqueness of the expansion in Theorem 0.3 and a little bit generalization of (0.7).

**Uniqueness of Expansion** Suppose that there is another expansion of f on annulus, say

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

then clearly we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{B_{-1}}{z - z_0} = A_{-1} = B_{-1}$$

Multiply f(z) by  $z - z_0$  and applying the same calculations, we know that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} (z - z_0) f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{A_{-2}}{z - z_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{B_{-2}}{z - z_0} = A_{-2} = B_{-2}.$$

Inductively we know that for any k, it holds

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} (z - z_0)^k f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{A_{-(k+1)}}{z - z_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{I_1} \frac{B_{-(k+1)}}{z - z_0} = A_{-(k+1)} = B_{-(k+1)}.$$

Therefore we have

**Theorem 0.5.** If on a annulus f can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

then it must be the Laurent series of f.

Generalization of (0.7) The generalization of (0.7) in the following is the so-called Residue theorem

**Theorem 0.6.** Given a closed curve  $\gamma$  positively oriented (see figure 5) and letting  $\Omega$  is the region enclosed by  $\gamma$ , if f is analytic in  $\Omega$  except finitely many singularities  $\{z_1, ..., z_n\}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}(f, z_j).$$

The proof of this theorem is simple. using the contour in figure 5, we can easily show that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_k} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z.$$
(0.8)

Here in (0.8), we used the general Cauchy theorem. Then apply (0.7) to the right-hand side above, the proof of Theorem 0.6 follows.

In light of the above arguments, we know that the most important thing in the evaluating of contour integral for a complex function is to find out its residue. Here we give a method to search residues of some special functions.

**Case 1.** In this case we assume  $z_0$  is a singularity of f and moreover

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0) f(z) = c,$$

where c is constant. We claim that in this case c equals to the residue of f at  $z_0$ . In fact, we consider the function

$$g(z) = f(z) - \frac{c}{z - z_0}.$$

by the assumption above, one can easily show that

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)g(z) = 0.$$

Therefore applying the removability of singularity to g, we know that g is analytic at  $z_0$ . In other words

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{z - z_0} + g(z),$$

where g is analytic at  $z_0$ . Clearly g can be expanded by Taylor series, Therefore by the uniqueness theorem 0.5, we know that

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{z - z_0}$$
 + Taylor Series of g.

Clearly c is the coefficient in front of  $\frac{1}{z-z_0}$ . That is the residue of f at  $z_0$ .

**Example 1.** suppose that  $a \neq b$  are two complex numbers, then

$$\frac{e^z}{(z-a)(z-b)}$$

has two singularities, a and b. Since

$$\lim_{z \to a} \frac{e^z}{(z-a)(z-b)} (z-a) = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{e^z}{z-b} = \frac{e^a}{a-b}$$

Therefore we have

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{e^z}{(z-a)(z-b)},a\right) = \frac{e^a}{a-b}.$$

**Example 2.** consider  $1/\sin z$ . This function has singularities at  $k\pi$  where k are all integers. by L'Hospitale rule, we know that

$$\lim_{z \to k\pi} \frac{z - k\pi}{\sin z} = (-1)^k,$$

Therefore it holds

$$\operatorname{Res}(\frac{1}{\sin z}, k\pi) = (-1)^k.$$

**Case 2.** The functions in case 2 are powers of all functions in case 1. Since the functions in case 1 can be written as

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{z - z_0} + g(z)$$

where g(z) is analytic at  $z_0$ . Therefore

$$(f(z))^n = \left(\frac{c}{z - z_0} + g(z)\right)^n$$

Using Binomial formula, we know that the higher order of the pole  $z_0$  must be n. So in order to get the coefficient of  $(z - z_0)^{-(n-1)}$ , we just need move  $c^n/(z - z_0)^n$  to the left and calculate the limit

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \left( (f(z))^n - \frac{c^n}{(z - z_0)^n} \right) (z - z_0)^{n-1}.$$

Then can we get the coefficient of  $A_{-(n-1)}$  from the above limit. To get  $A_{-(n-2)}$  we just need move  $A_{-(n-1)}/(z-z_0)^{n-1}$  to the left and calculate

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \left( (f(z))^n - \frac{c^n}{(z - z_0)^n} - \frac{A_{-(n-1)}}{(z - z_0)^{n-1}} \right) (z - z_0)^{n-2}.$$

Inductively we can find out the coefficient  $A_{-1}$  in finite steps.

**Example 3.**  $1/\sin^2 z$ . We know that

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2 z} = \left(\frac{1}{z} + g(z)\right)^2,$$

in a neighborhood of  $z_0 = 0$ . Therefore  $z_0 = 0$  is a pole of  $1/\sin^2 z$  with order 2. To get  $A_{-1}$  at  $z_0 = 0$ , we just need calculate

$$\lim_{z \to 0} z \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2 z} - \frac{1}{z^2} \right)$$

Finally one can show that the above limit is 0.