## Lecture 20 on Nov. 282013

We have seen the applications of the simplest Cauchy theorem in the above lectures. Today we are going to consider its more general version.
Definition 0.1. A curve $\gamma$ in $\Omega$ is said to be homogeneous to a point in $\Omega$ if $\gamma$ can be deformed continuously to the point. Analytically we have a two variable functions $\gamma(t, s)$ from the rectangle $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ to $\Omega$ so that $\gamma$ is continuous with respect to both $t$ variable and s variable. Moreover $\gamma(t, 0)$ is a parametrization of the curve $\gamma$ and $\gamma(t, 1)$ is constantly equal to the given point in $\Omega$.

One may refer to the figure 1 to take a glance on the concept introduced above. In fact in figure $1, \Omega_{2}$ is the larger domain and $\Omega_{1}$ is the smaller domain inside $\Omega_{2}$. Our $\Omega$ is the domain in $\Omega_{2}$ without the domain $\Omega_{1}$. For $\gamma_{1}$ no matter how you deform $\gamma_{1}$ to a point in $\Omega$, you will alway intersect some points in $\Omega_{1}$. But for $\gamma_{2}$ we can do so. The difference of these two curves are the follows. For $\gamma_{1}$, it enclose an interior region and $\Omega_{1}$ is included in the region. While for $\gamma_{2}, \Omega_{1}$ is outside the region enclosed by $\gamma_{2}$. In the following, we are going to show that Cauchy's theorem still holds for curves with the same type of $\gamma_{2}$.

Given $\Gamma_{1}$ with positive orientation (see figure 2), we choose another curve $\Gamma_{2}$ which is quite close to $\Gamma_{1}$. We seperate the region between $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ into a lot of small boxes. The size of each box is small enough so that for each small box, we can find a disk to cover it and $f$ is analytic in the disk. Now we zoom out the box $A$ and box $B$ and choose the contour as what is shown in figure 3. Clearly by simple Cauchy thoerem, we know that

$$
\int_{I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0
$$

where $f$ is an analytic function in a domain containing $\Gamma_{1}$. Moreover we also have

$$
\int_{J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}+J_{4}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0
$$

Pay attention that $I_{4}$ and $J_{2}$ are interface between $A$ and $B$ but they have different direction. So the integration on $I_{4}$ and $J_{2}$ can be cancelled with each other. Therefore if we add the above two equalities, we get

$$
\int_{I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+J_{3}+J_{4}+J_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0 .
$$

In this new contour, the interface between $A$ and $B$ disappear. The same technique can be applied to the remaining boxes and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{2}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0 . \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

notice here $\Gamma_{2}$ is chosen to be positively oriented. From Figure 3, we see that the curve $I_{3}+J_{3}$ have different orientation from $I_{1}+J_{1}$. Therefore after cancellation of interfaces, the outer curve should be $\Gamma_{1}$ and has the same orientation as $\Gamma_{1}$ but the interior curve coincide with $\Gamma_{2}$ but have different orientation as we choose for the $\Gamma_{2}$. That is why we have a negative sign in front of $\Gamma_{2}$ in (0.1). Rewriting (0.1), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z .
$$

If $\Gamma_{1}$ can be deformed to a point $P$ (see Figure 2) and $f$ is analytic on a disk around $P$, then we know that

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0 .
$$

Therefore we further show that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0 .
$$

Summarizing all the arguments above, we have

Theorem 0.2. if $f$ is analytic in a domain $\Omega$ and $\gamma$ is a closed curve homogeneous to a point in $\Omega$, then

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0
$$

A straightforward application of Theorem 0.2 is the so-called Laurent series. Given an annulus shown as in Figure $4, z_{0}$ is the center. The outer circle has radius $r_{2}$ and interior circle has radius $r_{1} . z$ is an arbitrary point on the annulus. If $f(\zeta)$ is analytic on the annulus, then by removability of singularities, $(f(\zeta)-f(z)) /(\zeta-z)$ is also analytic in the annulus with resepct to the variable $\zeta$. Choosing the contour $I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}$, it is homogeneous to a point in the annulus, therefore we have by Theorem 0.2 that

$$
\int_{I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=0
$$

$I_{2}$ and ${ }_{4}$ can be cancelled with each other since they have different direction, therefore we obtain from the above equality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \int_{I_{1}+I_{3}} \frac{1}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=\int_{I_{1}+I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the index of $z$ with resepct to $I_{1}$ equals to 1 and the index of $z$ with respect to $I_{3}$ is 0 , therefore the left-hand side of $(0.2)$ equals to $2 \pi i f(z)$. furthermore $(0.2)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we deal with the integraion on $I_{1}$ on the right-hand side of (0.3). clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z_{0}+z_{0}-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z_{0}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{z-z_{0}}{\zeta-z_{0}}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that on $I_{1},\left|\zeta-z_{0}\right|>\left|z-z_{0}\right|$, therefore it holds by geometric series that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z_{0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z-z_{0}}{\zeta-z_{0}}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \zeta  \tag{0.4}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\left(\zeta-z_{0}\right)^{k+1}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} \tag{0.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As for the integration on $I_{3}$, similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z_{0}+z_{0}-z} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{z-z_{0}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\zeta-z_{0}}{z-z_{0}}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-I_{3}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{z-z_{0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\zeta-z_{0}}{z-z_{0}}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-I_{3}} f(\zeta)\left(\zeta-z_{0}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \zeta\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-(k+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

summarizing the above arguments, we know that

Theorem 0.3. if $f$ is analytic on the annulus with outer circle $I_{1}$ and inner circle $I_{3}$ (see figure 4), then $f$ can be expanded by

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

where

$$
A_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\left(\zeta-z_{0}\right)^{k+1}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta
$$

Here if $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, then $\Gamma$ in $A_{k}$ is the positively oriented outer circle $I_{1}$. If $k=-1,-2, \ldots$, then $\Gamma$ is the positively oriented inner circle $I_{3}$.

Using Theorem 0.3 , we see that

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=-2}^{-\infty} A_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}+\frac{A_{-1}}{z-z_{0}}
$$

all functions on the right-hand side above has anti-derivatives except the function

$$
\frac{A_{-1}}{z-z_{0}} .
$$

Therefore given a closed curve $\gamma$ in the annulus, we can easily show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{A_{-1}}{z-z_{0}} \mathrm{~d} z=A_{-1} n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right) \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above calculations, we see that $A_{-1}$ is of most important to us comparing to the other coefficients. So we give a special name for it.

Definition 0.4. We call $A_{-1}$ the residue of a given function $f$ at $z_{0}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Res}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. The expansion in Theorem 0.3 is called Laurent series.

Before moving forward, let us study the uniqueness of the expansion in Theorem 0.3 and a little bit generalization of (0.7).

Uniqueness of Expansion Suppose that there is another expansion of $f$ on annulus, say

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

then clearly we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{A_{-1}}{z-z_{0}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{B_{-1}}{z-z_{0}}=A_{-1}=B_{-1}
$$

Multiply $f(z)$ by $z-z_{0}$ and applying the same calculations, we know that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}}\left(z-z_{0}\right) f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{A_{-2}}{z-z_{0}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{B_{-2}}{z-z_{0}}=A_{-2}=B_{-2}
$$

Inductively we know that for any $k$, it holds

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{A_{-(k+1)}}{z-z_{0}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{I_{1}} \frac{B_{-(k+1)}}{z-z_{0}}=A_{-(k+1)}=B_{-(k+1)}
$$

Therefore we have

Theorem 0.5. If on a annulus $f$ can be written as

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

then it must be the Laurent series of $f$.

Generalization of (0.7) The generalization of (0.7) in the following is the so-called Residue theorem
Theorem 0.6. Given a closed curve $\gamma$ positively oriented (see figure 5) and letting $\Omega$ is the region enclosed by $\gamma$, if $f$ is analytic in $\Omega$ except finitely many singularities $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(f, z_{j}\right)
$$

The proof of this theorem is simple. using the contour in figure 5 , we can easily show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma_{k}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here in (0.8), we used the general Cauchy theorem. Then apply (0.7) to the right-hand side above, the proof of Theorem 0.6 follows.

In light of the above arguments, we know that the most important thing in the evaluating of contour integral for a complex function is to find out its residue. Here we give a method to search residues of some special functions.

Case 1. In this case we assume $z_{0}$ is a singularity of $f$ and moreover

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(z-z_{0}\right) f(z)=c
$$

where $c$ is constant. We claim that in this case $c$ equals to the residue of $f$ at $z_{0}$. In fact, we consider the function

$$
g(z)=f(z)-\frac{c}{z-z_{0}}
$$

by the assumption above, one can easily show that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(z-z_{0}\right) g(z)=0
$$

Therefore applying the removability of singularity to $g$, we know that $g$ is analytic at $z_{0}$. In other words

$$
f(z)=\frac{c}{z-z_{0}}+g(z)
$$

where $g$ is analytic at $z_{0}$. Clearly $g$ can be expanded by Taylor series, Therefore by the uniqueness theorem 0.5 , we know that

$$
f(z)=\frac{c}{z-z_{0}}+\text { Taylor Series of } g
$$

Clearly $c$ is the coefficient in front of $\frac{1}{z-z_{0}}$. That is the residue of $f$ at $z_{0}$.
Example 1. suppose that $a \neq b$ are two complex numbers, then

$$
\frac{e^{z}}{(z-a)(z-b)}
$$

has two singularities, $a$ and $b$. Since

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \frac{e^{z}}{(z-a)(z-b)}(z-a)=\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \frac{e^{z}}{z-b}=\frac{e^{a}}{a-b}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{e^{z}}{(z-a)(z-b)}, a\right)=\frac{e^{a}}{a-b} .
$$

Example 2. consider $1 / \sin z$. This function has singularities at $k \pi$ where $k$ are all integers. by L'Hospitale rule, we know that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow k \pi} \frac{z-k \pi}{\sin z}=(-1)^{k}
$$

Therefore it holds

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{\sin z}, k \pi\right)=(-1)^{k}
$$

Case 2. The functions in case 2 are powers of all functions in case 1. Since the functions in case 1 can be written as

$$
f(z)=\frac{c}{z-z_{0}}+g(z)
$$

where $g(z)$ is analytic at $z_{0}$. Therefore

$$
(f(z))^{n}=\left(\frac{c}{z-z_{0}}+g(z)\right)^{n}
$$

Using Binomial formula, we know that the higher order of the pole $z_{0}$ must be $n$. So in order to get the coefficient of $\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-(n-1)}$, we just need move $c^{n} /\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}$ to the left and calculuate the limit

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left((f(z))^{n}-\frac{c^{n}}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n-1}
$$

Then can we get the coefficient of $A_{-(n-1)}$ from the above limit. To get $A_{-(n-2)}$ we just need move $A_{-(n-1)} /\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n-1}$ to the left and calculuate

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left((f(z))^{n}-\frac{c^{n}}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}}-\frac{A_{-(n-1)}}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n-1}}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n-2}
$$

Inductively we can find out the coefficient $A_{-1}$ in finite steps.
Example 3. $1 / \sin ^{2} z$. We know that

$$
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} z}=\left(\frac{1}{z}+g(z)\right)^{2}
$$

in a neighborhood of $z_{0}=0$. Therefore $z_{0}=0$ is a pole of $1 / \sin ^{2} z$ with order 2 . To get $A_{-1}$ at $z_{0}=0$, we just need calculate

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)
$$

Finally one can show that the above limit is 0 .

